arXiv:1409.3802v1 [math.AG] 12 Sep 2014

Kontsevich spaces of rational curves on Fano hypersurfaces

Eric Riedl and David Yang

September 15, 2014

Abstract

We investigate the spaces of rational curves on a general hypersurface. In particular, we show that for a general degree d hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ with $n \geq d+2$, the space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(X, e)$ of degree e Kontsevich stable maps from a rational curve to X is an irreducible local complete intersection stack of dimension $e(n-d+1)+n-4$.

1 Introduction

A basic way of attempting to understand a variety is to understand its subvarieties. For example, what subvarieties appear? What are the irreducible components to their moduli spaces? What are the dimensions of these components? Much work has been done on this area, but the question appears to be extremely difficult in general, and there are many simple-sounding open questions. For instance, the dimensions of the spaces of degree d, genus g curves in $\mathbb{P}^n$ are unknown in general.

When considering these questions, of particular interest is understanding the geometry of the rational subvarieties of a given variety, partly because these questions are sometimes more tractable and partly because the nice properties enjoyed by rational varieties give us particular insight into the geometry of the variety. For instance, Harris, Mazur and Pandharipande [11] prove that any smooth hypesurface in sufficiently high degree is unirational by looking at the space of k-planes contained in the hypersurface. Or, in [18] Starr, again by considering the spaces of k-planes contained in a hypersurface, proves that the k-plane sections of a smooth hypersurface in sufficiently high dimension dominate the moduli space of hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^k$. Rational varieties, particularly rational curves, have an important role in birational geometry and the Minimal Model Program, as is evidenced by the proofs by Campana [2] and Kollár-Miyaoka-Mori [17] that Fano varieties are rationally connected. In a different direction, genus 0 Gromov-Witten invariants, which are important in mathematical physics, are an attempt to count the number of rational curves satisfying certain incidence conditions. Knowing the dimensions of Kontsevich spaces allows us to connect the Gromov-Witten theory calculations to actual curves.

In this paper, we focus on finding the dimensions of the spaces of rational curves on hypersurfaces. Let X be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ of degree d. We are interested in the space

of degree e rational curves on X. Let $N = \binom{n+d}{d} - 1$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(X, e)$ be the Kontsevich space of degree e stable rational maps to X. It is not hard to see that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(X, e)$ is cut out by a section of a rank $ed + 1$ vector bundle on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^n, e)$. Thus, $\mathcal{M}_{0,0}(X, e)$ will have dimension at least $(e + 1)(n + 1) - 4 - (ed + 1) = e(n - d + 1) + n - 4$. There are examples of smooth hypersurfaces X with $\dim \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(X, e) \geq e(n - d + 1) + n - 4$. This leads naturally to the following open question, which was conjectured by Coskun-Harris-Starr in the special case $n \geq d + 1$.

Conjecture 1.1. *For X a general hypersurface of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^n$, the dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(X, e)$ is equal to $\max\{0, e(n - d + 1) + n - 4\}$, the minimum possible.*

This conjecture is open for large ranges of d, n and e. However, some specific cases are known, and we summarize them here. For $e \leq d + 2$, the conjecture follows from a result of Gruson, Lazarsfeld and Peskine [10]. In the special case $d = 5$, $n = 4$, Conjecture 1.1 is a version of the well-known Clemens' Conjecture, which has been worked on by Katz, Kleiman-Johnsen, Cotterill and others [16, 14, 15, 5, 6]. Despite all of this progress, Clemens' Conjecture remains open for $e \geq 12$. Some work has been done on Conjecture 1.1 for d larger than n. Voisin [20, 21], improving on work of Clemens [3] and Ein [7, 8], proved as a special case of a more general result that if $d \geq 2n - 2$, then a general X contains no rational curves. If $d < n$, which is the original setting for Conjecture 1.1, Beheshti and Kumar [1], following work of Harris, Roth and Starr [12], proved that if $d \leq \frac{2n+2}{3}$, a general X contains the expected dimension of curves.

In this paper, we improve on Beheshti-Kumar's [1] and Harris-Roth-Starr's [12] results. [1]

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a general degree d hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$, with $n \geq d + 2$. Then $\mathcal{M}_{0,0}(X, e)$ is an irreducible local complete intersection stack of dimension $e(n - d + 1) + n - 4$.*

For $n \geq d+1$, Coskun, Harris and Starr [4] conjecture that the evaluation morphism $\mathcal{M}_{0,1}(X, e) \to X$ is flat.

Conjecture 1.3. *For X a general hypersurface of degree $d \leq n-1$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$, the evaluation morphism $\mathrm{ev} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(X, e) \to X$ is flat of relative dimension $e(n - d + 1) - 2$.*

Clearly, Conjecture 1.3 for a given n, d, and e implies Conjecture 1.1 for that n, d and e. Harris-Roth-Starr [12] showed that knowing Conjecture 1.3 for e up to a certain threshold degree $\frac{n+1}{n-d+1}$ proves Conjecture 1.3 for all e, and moreover proves that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(X, e)$ is a local complete intersection stack. We prove flatness for $n \geq d + 2$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a general degree d hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$, with $n \geq d + 2$. Then $\mathrm{ev} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(X, e) \to X$ is flat with fibers of dimension $e(n - d + 1) - 2$.*

The idea of the proof in Harris-Roth-Starr [12] is to prove Conjecture 1.3 for $e = 1$, then to show that every rational curve through a given point specializes to

[1] As we were working on this write-up, we received word that Roya Beheshti had independently proven Conjecture 1.1 for $d < n - 2\sqrt{n}$. Her techniques seem likely to apply to hypersurfaces in the Grassmannian.

a reducible curve. By flatness of the evaluation morphism, the result follows by induction. Beheshti-Kumar [1] get a stronger result by proving flatness of the evaluation morphism for $e = 2$ and then using the Harris-Roth-Starr result. The key to Harris-Roth-Starr's approach is a version of Bend-and-Break which allows them to show that there are so many curves in the fibers of the evaluation morphism $\text{ev} : \mathcal{M}_{0,1}(X, e) \to X$ that every curve must specialize to a reducible curve. The reason that their bound applies only for small d is because when d gets larger, there are not enough curves to ensure that every component of a fiber of ev contains reducible curves.

Our approach builds on Harris-Roth-Starr's by borrowing curves from nearby hypersurfaces to ensure that there are enough curves to apply Bend-and-Break. We do this by bounding the codimension of the space of hypersurfaces for which the statement of Conjecture 1.1 does not hold. Crucial to our analysis are the notions of a *e-level* and *e-layered* hypersurfaces, that is, hypersurfaces that have close to the right dimensions of degree-at-most-e rational curves through any given point and hypersurfaces whose rational curves all specialize to reducible curves. Our proof proceeds by inductively bounding the codimension of the space of hypersurfaces that are not e-layered.

Our paper is organized as follows. First we state and prove the version of Bend-and-Break that we will use. Then we sketch how the version of Bend-and-Break can be used to prove Harris-Roth-Starr. Next, we introduce the concepts of e-levelness and e-layeredness and prove some important properties of them. Then we prove our main theorem using these notions.

2 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Joe Harris for many helpful discussions.

3 Preliminaries

We will use standard facts about Kontsevich spaces, such as those found in [9]. We treat $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(X, e)$ as a coarse moduli space. Occasionally we will need to use the result found in Vistoli [19] which says that there is a scheme Z that is a finite cover of the stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(X, e)$. We also need the following well-known result.

Lemma 3.1. *It is at least $\binom{d+k}{k}$ conditions for a degree d hypersurface to contain a given k-dimensional variety.*

The following variant of a result in [1], is the version of Bend-and-Break that we will use.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $T \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^n, e)$ with $\dim T = 1$. Suppose each of the maps parameterized by T contains two distinct fixed points $p, q \in \mathbb{P}^n$ in its image, and that the images of the curves parameterized by T sweep out a family of dimension 2 in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Then T parameterizes maps with reducible domains.*

Proof. Suppose the result is false. Then, possibly after a finite base-change, we can find a family of Kontsevich stable maps parameterized by T providing a counterexample.

After normalizing, we can assume T is smooth. Thus, we have a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\pi : B \to T$ and a map $\phi : B \to \mathbb{P}^n$ such that the restriction of ϕ to each fiber of π is the Kontsevich stable map in question.

The Neron-Severi group of B is two dimensional, generated by the fiber class and a divisor whose class is $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on each fiber. Since the image of B is two-dimensional, any contracted curves must have negative self-intersection. Thus, the sections of π corresponding to the two points p and q must be two disjoint curves with negative self-intersection. Thus, their classes in the Neron-Severi group must be independent, since their intersection matrix is negative definite. However, this contradicts the Neron-Severi rank being two, since it is impossible for the entire Neron-Severi group to be contracted. □

Corollary 3.3. *If T is a complete family in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^n, e)$ of dimension at least $2n-1$ such that the image of a general map in the family is unique, then T contains elements with reducible domains.*

Proof. Consider the incidence correspondance $Y = \{(C, f, p, q) \mid (C, f) \in T \text{ and } p, q \in f(C)\}$. Then Y has dimension at least $2n+1$. Looking at the natural map $Y \to \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n$, we see that the general non-empty fiber has to be at least one-dimensional. Thus, we can find a 1-parameter subfamily passing through two distinct points whose image is non-constant. □

We also need a similar result for families of curves lying on a hypersurface and all passing through one point:

Proposition 3.4. *Let X be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$. If T is a complete family in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(X, e)$ of dimension at least $n-1$ such that the image of a general map in the family is unique and contains a fixed point p, then T parameterizes a map with reducible domain.*

Proof. Consider the incidence correspondance $Y = \{(C, f, q) \mid (C, f) \in T \text{ and } q \in f(C)\}$. Then Y has dimension at least n. Looking at the natural map $Y \to X$, we see that the general fiber has to be at least one-dimensional. Thus, we can find a 1-parameter subfamily passing through p and another point q whose image is non-constant. □

4 The case of small degree

Because the ideas in Harris-Roth-Starr [12] are so central to our approach, we provide a sketch of the proof of a main result from Harris-Roth-Starr.

Theorem 4.1 (Harris-Roth-Starr)**.** *Let $d \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$. Then if X is a general degree d hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$, the space of degree e rational curves in X through an arbitrary point p has dimension $e(n-d+1)-2$.*

Proof. (sketch) It follows from Proposition 5.10 that a general hypersurface has a $1 \cdot (n-d+1) - 2 = n-d-1$ dimensional family of lines through every point. Now

we use induction. Suppose we know the result for all curves of degree smaller than e. Then the space of reducible curves through a point p with components of degrees e_1 and e_2 has dimension $e_1(n-d+1)-2+1+e_2(n-d+1)-2 = e(n-d+1)-3$. Thus, it remains to show that every component of rational degree e curves through p contains reducible curves, since we know that the space of reducible curves is codimension at most 1 in the space of all rational curves.

It follows from Proposition 3.4 that any $(n-1)$-dimensional family of curves contained in X passing through p must contain reducible curves. Thus, we will have the result if

$$e(n-d+1)-2 \geq n-1$$

for all $e \geq 2$. This simplifies to

$$ed \leq n(e-1)+e-1$$

or

$$d \leq \frac{(n+1)(e-1)}{e}.$$

The right-hand side is increasing in e, so if $d \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$ we have our result. □

From the proof, we see that if $\text{ev} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(X,k) \to X$ is flat with expected-dimensional fibers for $1 \leq k \leq e-1$ but not for $k = e$, then $e(n-d+1)-2 \leq n-1$, or $e \leq \frac{n+1}{n-d+1}$. That is, we need only check flatness for degrees up to $\frac{n+1}{n-d+1}$. Harris-Roth-Starr call $\lfloor \frac{n+1}{n-d+1} \rfloor$ the threshold degree. Note that as a Corollary of the proof, it follows that every component of degree e curves contains curves with reducible domains.

Harris-Roth-Starr also prove irreducibility of the space of rational curves, and we will need this result as well, but we will describe it further in the next section, after we have talked about e-layeredness.

5 e-levelness and e-layeredness

This section is about two related concepts that underlie the ideas behind our proofs: e-levelness and e-layeredness. Roughly speaking, an e-level point of a hypersurface has the expected dimension of degree-up-to-e rational curves through it, and an e-layered point is such that every degree-up-to-e rational curve through it specializes to a reducible curve. Harris, Roth, and Starr do not define these terms per se, but certainly are using related ideas in [12]. Our main innovation is extending these ideas to singular hypersurfaces, so that we can try to bound the codimensions of the loci of hypersurfaces which are not e-layered.

Definition 5.1. *A point $p \in X$ is e-level if:*

- $p \in X_{\mathsf{smooth}}$ *and the space of rational curves in X through p has dimension at most $e(n-d+1)-2$ or*
- $p \in X_{\mathsf{sing}}$ *and the space of rational curves in X through p has dimension at most $e(n-d+1)-1$.*

A point $p \in X$ is e-sharp if it is not e-level.

We say that the space T of degree e rational curves in X through p has the expected dimension if p is a singular and $\dim T \leq e(n-d+1)-1$ or p is smooth and $\dim T = e(n-d+1)-2$. The reason that the condition is different for singular points is that through a singular point, there will always be at least a $e(n-d+1)-1$ dimensional family of rational curves, as we can see from writing out how many conditions it is for an explicit map from $\mathbb{P}^1$ to $\mathbb{P}^n$ to lie in X. Points are e-level if they have the expected dimension of degree e rational curves through them.

Definition 5.2. *A hypersurface X is e-level if for all $k \leq e$ the following two conditions hold:*

- *There are no rational curves of degree k contained in X_{sing}.*
- *There are no k-sharp points of X.*

We say a hypersurface is e-sharp if it is not e-level.

Let

$$\Phi = \{(p, X) | p \in X\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^N.$$

Let Φ_{smooth} and Φ_{sing} be the respectively open and closed subsets given by

$$\Phi_{\mathsf{smooth}} = \{(p, X) | p \in X \text{ such that } p \in X_{\mathsf{smooth}}\} \subset \Phi$$

and

$$\Phi_{\mathsf{sing}} = \{(p, X) | p \in X \text{ such that } p \in X_{\mathsf{sing}}\} \subset \Phi.$$

Let $\Phi_{e,\mathsf{sharp}} \subset \Phi$ be the locus of pairs (p, X) where p is an e-sharp point of X. Notice that $\Phi_{e,\mathsf{sharp}}$ is not closed in Φ. To see this, consider the family of cubics in $\mathbb{P}^5$ cut out by $f_t = tx_0^2x_1 + x_0x_1x_2 + x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3 + x_4^3 + x_5^3$. For all t, there is a 2-dimensional family of lines through the point $p = [1:0:0:0:0:0]$. For $t \neq 0$, $X_t = V(f_t)$ is smooth p, which means that p is a 1-sharp point of X_t. However, for $t = 0$, X_0 is singular at p, which means p is a 1-level point of X_0. Although $\Phi_{e,\mathsf{sharp}}$ is not closed in Φ, it is the case that $\Phi_{e,\mathsf{sharp}} \cap \Phi_{\mathsf{smooth}}$ is closed in Φ_{smooth} and $\Phi_{e,\mathsf{sharp}} \cap \Phi_{\mathsf{sing}}$ is closed in Φ_{sing} (which means that it is also closed in Φ, since Φ_{sing} is closed in Φ).

Definition 5.3. *A point $p \in X$ is e-layered if:*

- *It is 1-level.*
- *For $k \leq e$, every component of degree k rational curves through p contains reducibles.*

A point $p \in X$ is e-uneven if it is not e-layered.

As before, we define $\Phi_{e,\text{layered}}$ to be $\{(p, X) | p$ is an e-layered point of $X\}$ and $\Phi_{e,\text{uneven}}$ to be $\{(p, X) |\, p$ is an e-uneven point of $X\}$. The definition of e-layered hypersurfaces is analogous to that of e-level hypersurfaces.

Definition 5.4. *We say that a hypersurface X is e-layered if:*

- *All of its points are e-layered.*

- *It contains no rational curves of degree e or less in its singular locus.*

Proposition 5.5 will allow us to relate layeredness and levelness. We wish to specialize arbitrary rational curves to reducible curves in order to get a bound on the dimension of the space of curves through a point. The specialization will not be useful unless we know that the space of reducible curves has sufficiently small dimension. The notion of e-levelness is exactly tailored so that this is the case.

Proposition 5.5. *Let X be an $(e-1)$-level degree d hypersurface. Let $\mathrm{ev} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(X, e) \to X$. Then if p is a point of X, the subspace of reducible curves in $\mathrm{ev}^{-1}(p)$ has dimension at most $e(n-d+1)-3$ if $p \in X_{\mathsf{smooth}}$ and at most $e(n-d+1)-2$ if $p \in X_{\mathsf{sing}}$.*

Proof. We use strong induction on e. It is obvious for $e = 1$, as there are no reducible curves in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\{a\}}(X, 1)$.

Denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\{a\}}(X, e)$ the Kontsevich space of stable degree e maps from rational curves with a marked point a. The subspace of reducible curves in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\{a\}}(X, e)$ is covered by maps from $\mathcal{B}_{e_1} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\{a,b\}}(X, e_1) \times_X \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\{c\}}(X, e_2)$, where $e_1 + e_2 = e$, $e_1, e_2 \geq 1$, and the maps $\mathrm{ev}_b : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\{a,b\}}(X, e_1) \to X$ and $\mathrm{ev}_c : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\{c\}}(X, e_2) \to X$ are used to define the fiber products. The map from $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\{a,b\}}(X, e_1) \times_X \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\{c\}}(X, e_2)$ to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\{a\}}(X, e)$ is defined by gluing the domain curves together along b and c. The marked point a of the first curve becomes the point a of the resulting curve. Let pr_1 and pr_2 be the projection maps of $\mathcal{B}_{e_1}$ onto the first and second components.

Fix a point $p \in X$, and let $Y = (\mathrm{ev}_a \circ \mathrm{pr}_1)^{-1}(p) \subset \mathcal{B}_{e_1}$. We are thus reduced to bounding the dimension of Y. Let $Z = \mathrm{ev}_a^{-1}(p) \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\{a\}}(X, e_1)$, and $Z' = \mathrm{ev}_a^{-1}(p) \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\{a,b\}}(X, e_1)$, so that we have a natural sequence of maps $Y \to Z' \to Z$ given by $\mathrm{pr}_1 : Y \to Z'$ and the forgetful map $\pi : Z' \to Z$ which forgets the point b.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
Y & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}_{e_1} & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\{a\}}(X, e) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}_1} & & \\
Z' & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\{a,b\}}(X, e_1) & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \\
Z & \longrightarrow & \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\{a\}}(X, e_1) & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{ev}_a} & & \\
\mathrm{Spec}\, k & \xrightarrow[p]{} & X & &
\end{array}$$

Given a tuple $(f, C, p_a) \in Z \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,\{a\}}(X, e_1)$, we wish to analyze the fibers of $\mathrm{pr}_1 \circ \pi$. The fibers of π are all 1-dimensional, and the fibers of pr_1 are all at most $e_2(n-d+1)-1$ dimensional by $(e-1)$-levelness. If C is irreducible, then since there are no degree at most $e-1$ rational curves in X_{sing}, the general fiber of pr_1 over a point $(f, C, p_a, p_b) \in Z'$ has dimension $e_2(n-d+1)-2$. By induction, we know that for a general $(f, C, p_a) \in Z$ with $f(p_a) = p$, C is irreducible. Therefore, $\dim Y = \dim Z + 1 + e_2(n-d+1) - 2 = \dim Z + e_2(n-d+1) - 1$.

Putting it all together, by e-levelness, the dimension of Z is at most $e_1(n-d+1)-2$ if $p \in X_{\mathsf{smooth}}$, and $e_1(n-d+1)-1$ if $p \in X_{\mathsf{sing}}$. Thus, the dimension of Y is $e_1(n-d+1)-2+e_2(n-d-1)-1 = e(n-d+1)-3$ if $p \in X_{\mathsf{smooth}}$ or at most $e_1(n-d+1)-1+e_2(n-d+1)-1 = e(n-d+1)-2$ if $p \in X_{\mathsf{sing}}$, as desired. □

Corollary 5.6. *If X is e-layered, then X is e-level.*

It follows that if $p \in X$ is $(e-1)$-level, but e-sharp, than p must be e-uneven.

Corollary 5.7. *The space $\Phi_{e,uneven}$ is closed in $\Phi_{e-1,level}$.*

Proof. To get a contradiction, suppose we have a 1-parameter family of $(e-1)$-level pairs (p_t, X_t) such that a general point is e-uneven, while (p_0, X_0) is e-layered. Since (p_0, X_0) is $(e-1)$-level, this means that the family of reducible curves in X_t passing through p_t is codimension at least 2 in the family of all degree curves in X_t passing through p_t. This is a contradiction. □

Using Proposition 5.5, we immediately obtain a generalization of the result of Harris-Roth-Starr. The idea behind this corollary is related to ideas found in Harris, Roth, and Starr's treatment of families of curves on smooth cubic hypersurfaces [13].

Corollary 5.8. *If $n \geq d+2$, X is an e-level, degree d hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ which has no rational curves of any degree in its singular locus and $(e+1)(n-d+1)-2 \geq n-1$, then X is k-level for all k. It follows that if X contains no rational curves in its singular locus and is $\lfloor \frac{n+1}{n-d+1} \rfloor$-level, then X is k-level for all k.*

As in Section 4, we refer to $\lfloor \frac{n+1}{n-d+1} \rfloor$ as the threshold degree.

Proof. Because for $k \geq e+1$, the space of any component of degree k rational curves through a point must have dimension at least $(e+1)(n-d+1)-2 \geq n-1$, we see by Proposition 3.4 that every component of the space of degree k rational curves through an arbitrary point of X must contain reducible curves. Since the space of reducible curves is a divisor in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbb{P}^n, e)$, by Proposition 5.5, we see that every component of the space of degree k rational curves through an arbitrary point must have the expected dimension. □

The following result is essentially proven in [12], although they do not have the term e-layered. We sketch their proof here for convenience.

Theorem 5.9. *If $n \geq d+2$ and $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a smooth, degree d e-layered hypersurface such that the space of lines through a general point is irreducible, then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(X, e)$ is irreducible of the expected dimension.*

Proof. (sketch) By induction, it follows that the space of degree e rational curves through a general point p contains curves that are trees of lines with no nodes at p. By 1-levelness, it follows that any tree of lines can be specialized to a "broom" of lines, that is, a set of e lines all passing through the same point which is distinct from the

fixed point p. By irreducibility of the space of lines through a point, it follows that the space of brooms is irreducible, and a codimension count shows that every component that contains a broom contains the entire space of brooms.

For a general broom, the lines that make it up will have balanced normal bundle in X. It follows that the pullback of the tangent sheaf twisted by $-p$ will have no H^1 for a general element of the family of brooms. Thus, the family of brooms is contained in a unique irreducible component of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(X, e)$. However, since we showed that it is contained in every component of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(X, e)$, our result is proven. □

Let S_1 be the closure of the set of 1-sharp hypersurfaces, and let $S_e \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be the closure of the union of S_1 with the set of e-uneven hypersurfaces. Note that $S_k \subset S_e$ for $k \leq e$.

The way we prove Theorem 6.1 is by bounding the codimension of the locus of e-sharp hypersurfaces. We prove the base case $e = 1$ here. The ideas are similar to those found in Section 2 of [12], but we need more precise dimension estimates so we restate and re-prove the result.

Proposition 5.10. *If $n \geq d+2$, then the codimension of S_1 in $\mathbb{P}^N$ is at least* $\min\{n(d-2)+3, \binom{n}{2} - n + 1\}$.

Proof. Note that S_1 will simply be the space of hypersurfaces singular along a line union the closure of the space of hypersurfaces having a sharp point.

First consider the space of hypersurfaces everywhere singular along a given line. Let f be the polynomial cutting out our hypersurface. We examine what conditions are imposed on the coefficients of f when we insist that $V(f)$ be everywhere singular along a given line. If we choose coordinates so that the line is $x_1 = \cdots = x_{n-1} = 0$, then the coefficients of $x_0^j x_n^{d-j}$ will have to vanish for $0 \leq j \leq d$, as will the coefficients of $x_i x_0^j x_n^{d-j-1}$ for $0 \leq j \leq d-1$. This is $nd+1$ conditions. Since there is a $(2n-2)$-dimensional family of lines in $\mathbb{P}^n$, this means that for a hypersurface to be singular along a line is $nd + 1 - (2n-2) = n(d-2)+3$ conditions.

Thus, it remains to bound the codimension of the space of hypersurfaces with a sharp point. By considering the natural projection map $\Phi \to \mathbb{P}^n$, it will suffice to show that the codimension of $\Phi_{1,\mathsf{sharp}} \subset \Phi$ is at least $\binom{n}{2}$.

We do this by considering the fibers of the map $\phi : \Phi \to \mathbb{P}^n$. Because all points of $\mathbb{P}^n$ are projectively equivalent, it will suffice to work with a single fiber of ϕ, say the fiber over p. Choose an affine coordinate chart containing p such that $p = (0, \cdots, 0)$ in this chart, and let f be an equation cutting out a hypersurface X which contains p. We want to understand how many conditions are imposed on the coefficients of f when we insist that p be a 1-sharp point of X. Take the Taylor expansion of f at p, writing $f = f_1 + f_2 + \cdots + f_d$, where f_i is a homogeneous polynomial of degree i.

Note that if we identify the space of lines in $\mathbb{P}^n$ passing through p with $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, then the space of such lines that lie in X will be the intersection of the $V(f_i)$. We therefore only need to analyze when this intersection has larger dimension than would be expected (given that X is smooth or singular at p.)

By Lemma 3.1, it is at least $\binom{d+k}{d}$ conditions for a hypersurface to contain a k-dimensional subvariety. We consider separately the case where X is singular at p and X is smooth at p.

First, suppose X is singular at p, i.e., $f_1 = 0$. Then f_2 will be non-zero outside of a codimension $\binom{n+1}{2}$ variety, and given that $f_2 \neq 0$, $V(f_3)$ will not contain any component of $V(f_2)$ outside of a codimension $\binom{n-2+3}{3} = \binom{n+1}{3}$ variety. Similar, if $\bigcap_{1<i<j} V(f_i)$ has dimension $n-j+1$, $V(f_j)$ will not contain any component of $\bigcap_{1<i<j} V(f_i)$ outside of a $\binom{n-j+1+j}{j} = \binom{n+1}{j}$-codimensional variety. For $n \geq d+2$, $\binom{n+1}{j} \geq \binom{n}{2}$ for $2 \leq j \leq d$.

Now suppose X is nonsingular at p, i.e., $f_1 \neq 0$. Then f_2 will not contain $V(f_1)$ outside of a codimension $\binom{n-2+2}{2} = \binom{n}{2}$ variety. Similarly, if $\bigcap_{i<j} V(f_i)$ has dimension n-j, $V(f_j)$ will not contain any component of $\bigcap_{i<j} V(f_i)$ outside of a codimension $\binom{n-j+j}{j} = \binom{n}{j}$ variety. For $n \geq d+2$ and $2 \leq j \leq d$, we see that $\binom{n}{j} \geq \binom{n}{2}$.

□

For the proof of our main result, we need to understand which hypersurfaces contain small-degree rational curves in their singular loci. The following Proposition bounds the condimension of such hypersurfaces.

Proposition 5.11. *The space of degree d hypersurfaces singular along a degree e rational curve has codimension at least $n(d-e-1)-e+4$.*

Proof. The space of degree e rational curves in $\mathbb{P}^n$ has dimension $(n+1)(e+1)-4$, so we just need to check that the space of hypersurfaces singular along a given degree e rational curve C has codimension at least $nd+1$, the codimension of the space of hypersurfaces singular along a line. We will reduce to this case by deforming C to a line.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that C does not intersect the $(n-2)$-plane $a_0 = a_1 = 0$. Now consider the closed subvariety $\mathcal{F}^\circ$ of $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}$ whose fiber above a point r of $\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}$ is the image of C under the automorphism $[a_0 : a_1 : \cdots : a_n] \to [a_0 : a_1 : ra_2 : ra_3 : \cdots : ra_n]$ of $\mathbb{P}^n$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the closure of $\mathcal{F}^\circ$ in $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{A}^1$.

The set theoretic fiber of $\mathcal{F}$ over 0 is the line $a_2 = a_3 = \cdots = a_n = 0$. We thus see that the dimension of the space of hypersurfaces singular everywhere along C is at most the dimension of the space of hypersurfaces singular everywhere along a line. Thus, the codimension of the space of degree d hypersurfaces singular along any degree e rational curve is at most $nd+1-((n+1)(e+1)-4) = n(d-e-1)-e+4$

□

For technical reasons in the proof of the main theorem we will need to show that an e-level hypersurface will contain lots of curves that aren't multiple covers of other curves, which is a result of independent interest.

Proposition 5.12. *If $n \geq d+2$, $e \geq 2$ and X is $(e-1)$-level, then in any component of the family of degree e rational curves through p, there is a pair $(f, C) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,0}(X, e)$ such that f is generically injective.*

Proof. Let $k > 1$ be a factor of e. We claim that the dimension of the space of degree k covers of a degree $\frac{e}{k}$ curve is smaller than the dimension of curves through p. We assume that p is a smooth point of X, since the computation is similar if p is a singular point (for p singular, everything works the same except in the exceptional case $k = e = 2$,

we need to use the fact that there will be a $(n-d)$-family of lines through a singular point). The space of degree k covers of a degree $\frac{e}{k}$ curve through p has dimension

$$\frac{e}{k}(n-d+1)-2+2k-2=\frac{e}{k}(n-d+1)+2k-4.$$

Any component of the family of degree e rational curves through p will have dimension at least

$$e(n-d+1)-2.$$

Thus, we need only show that

$$e(n-d+1)-2>\frac{e}{k}(n-d+1)+2k-4.$$

Rearranging, we obtain

$$e\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right)(n-d+1)>2k-2.$$

or

$$e\,(n-d+1)>2k.$$

which is clear, as $e\geq k$ and $n-d+1\geq 3$.

□

6 Proof of Main Result

The proof of our main result proceeds by inductively bounding the codimensions of the spaces of e-uneven hypersurfaces. To do this, we show that if codim $S_{e-1}-$codim S_e is too large, then we can find a large family of hypersurfaces and points with no reducible curves through the point. We then apply Bend-and-Break to the family of curves in those hypersurfaces through those points. We can imagine "borrowing" the curves from nearby hypersurfaces to have enough to apply Bend-and-Break.

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose $M=$ codim S_{e-1}. Then the codimension of S_e in $\mathbb{P}^N$ is at least* $\min\{M, M-2n+e(n-d+1)-1, n(d-e-1)-e+4\}$.

Proof. Note that S_e is the union of three (possibly overlapping) sets: S_{e-1}, the space of hypersurfaces singular along a degree e rational curve, and the closure of the space of hypersurfaces with an e-uneven point. The codimension of S_{e-1} is at least M by assumption, and the codimension of the space of hypersurfaces singular along a degree e rational curve is at least $n(d-e-1)-e+4$ by Proposition 5.11. Thus, it remains to bound the codimension of the space of hypersurfaces with an e-uneven point. If $e(n-d+1)-1\geq 2n$ (or indeed, if $e(n-d+1)\geq n+1$), then by Corollary 5.8 we see that any $(e-1)$-level hypersurface not singular along a degree e rational curve will be e-level, so we need only consider the case $e(n-d+1)-1<2n$. The statement is vacuous if $M\leq 2n-e(n-d+1)-1$, so we can assume $M>2n-e(n-d+1)-1$. We show that the closure of the space of hypersurfaces with an e-uneven point is codimension at least $M-2n+e(n-d+1)-1$, which will suffice to prove the theorem.

Suppose the result is false. That is, suppose that the closure of the space of hypersurfaces with an e-uneven point has codimension at most $M - 2n + e(n - d + 1) - 2$. Then if

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(p, f, C, X) \mid p \in f(C) \subset X, (f, C) \text{ is part of a family of curves through } p \text{ containing no reducibles}\} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(\mathbb{P}^n, e) \times \mathbb{P}^N$$

we can find an irreducible component $\mathcal{N}_e$ of the closure of $\mathcal{A}$ such that the dimension of the projection of $\mathcal{N}_e$ onto the space of hypersurfaces has codimension at most $M - 2n + e(n - d + 1) - 2$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the Chow variety of rational degree e curves in $\mathbb{P}^n$, and let $\pi : \mathcal{N}_e \to \mathcal{C}$ be the natural map. Let $\psi : \mathcal{N}_e \to \mathbb{P}^N$, $\phi : \mathcal{N}_e \to \Phi$ and $\psi_1 : \Phi \to \mathbb{P}^N$ be given by the natural maps. Note $\psi = \psi_1 \circ \phi$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_e & \xrightarrow[\pi]{} & \mathcal{C} \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi} & & \\ & & \Phi & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^n \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_1} & & \\ & & \mathbb{P}^N & & \end{array}$$

We claim that we can find an irreducible family $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{N}_e$ of dimension $2n - 1$ with the following properties:

1. $\psi(\mathcal{F}) \cap S_{e-1} = \emptyset$
2. If $(p, f, C, X) \in \mathcal{F}$, then C is irreducible.
3. $\dim \pi(\mathcal{F}) = 2n - 1$

First we prove the theorem assuming the claim. Since $\pi(\mathcal{F})$ has dimension at least $2n - 1$, by Corollary 3.3 we see that $\mathcal{F}$ must parameterize points (p, f, C, X) with C reducible, which contradicts property 2. (Condition 1 is needed to prove Condition 2).

Thus, it remains to prove the claim. We start by proving that $\pi(\mathcal{N}_e)$ has dimension at least $3n - 3$. By the definition of $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}$ is invariant under automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^n$. We thus have a map $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1} \times \mathcal{N}_e \to \mathcal{A}$. As PGL_{n+1} is irreducible, so is $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1} \times \mathcal{N}_e$, and thus the image of this map must be irreducible. But the image of this map contains $\mathcal{N}_e$, so the image of this map must be $\mathcal{N}_e$. Thus, $\mathcal{N}_e$ is preserved by automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^n$.

By Proposition 5.12 there is a point $(p, f, C, X) \in \mathcal{N}_e$ such that $f(C)$ is not a line. Then, if we choose three points p_1, p_2, p_3 on $f(C)$ which are not colinear, then those three points can be sent to any other three non-colinear points in $\mathbb{P}^n$ by an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^n$. This shows that the dimension of the space $\mathcal{C}_3 \subset \mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n$ of triples (C', p_1', p_2', p_3') which can be obtained by applying an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^n$ to (C, p_1, p_2, p_3) is at least $3n$. But the fibers of the projection $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ are 3-dimensional, as p_1, p_2, p_3 must lie on C, so the image of π will have dimension at least $3n - 3$.

We now construct $\mathcal{F}$. Let c be the dimension of a generic fiber of ϕ, and let $a = 2n - 1 - c$. If $c \geq 2n - 1$, then choose $\mathcal{F}$ to be a general $(2n - 1)$-dimensional

subspace of a general fiber of ϕ. Otherwise, let H be a general plane in $\mathbb{P}^N$ of dimension chosen such that $H \cap \psi(\mathcal{N}_e)$ has dimension a. Let $\mathcal{F}'$ be a component of a general linear section (under some projective embedding of Φ) of $\psi_1^{-1}(H) \cap \phi(\mathcal{N}_e)$, with $\dim \mathcal{F}' = a$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an irreducible component of $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{F}')$ that dominates $\mathcal{F}'$. By construction, $\mathcal{F}$ has dimension $a + c = 2n - 1$.

We now check the three conditions. We start with condition 1. Because $c \geq e(n-d+1)-2$, $a \leq 2n-1-(e(n-d+1)-2) = 2n-e(n-d+1)+1$. By hypothesis $\dim \psi(\mathcal{N}_e) - \dim S_{e-1} \geq 2n-e(n-d+1)+2 > a$, so it follows that $\psi(\mathcal{F}) = \psi(\mathcal{N}_e) \cap H$ is disjoint from S_{e-1}.

For condition 2, we see from generality of $\mathcal{F}$ and definition of $\mathcal{N}_e$ that for a general $(p, X) \in \phi(\mathcal{F})$, every C with $(p, f, C, X) \in \mathcal{F}$, will be irreducible. Additionally, every hypersurface in $\psi(\mathcal{F})$ is $(e-1)$-level by condition 1. From an argument similar to the proof of Corollary 5.7 it follows that every C with $(p, f, C, X) \in \mathcal{F}$ will be irreducible. To see this, suppose some of the C were reducible. Then they would have to be reducible over a codimension 1 subset of $\mathcal{F}$, which contradicts the general fiber of ϕ having only (p, f, C, X) with irreducible C.

To prove condition 3, we show that $\pi|_{\mathcal{F}}$ is generically finite. Let $(p, f, C, X) \in \mathcal{F}$ be general. We claim π is finite at (p, f, C, X). By Proposition 5.12 and generality of (p, f, C, X), f will be generically injective. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{(p', X') \in \Phi \mid p' \in f(C) \subset X'\} \cap \phi(\mathcal{N}_e)$. Then since the image of π had dimension at least $3n-3$, $\mathcal{B}$ has codimension at least $3n-3$ in $\phi(\mathcal{N}_e)$. Since the fibers of $\psi_1|_{\mathcal{B}}$ are 1-dimensional, this means $\psi_1(\mathcal{B})$ has codimension at least $2n-1$ in $\psi(\mathcal{N}_e)$, which means that H intersects $\psi_1(\mathcal{B})$ in at most finitely many points by generality of H. Since $\psi_1|_{\mathcal{F}'}$ is finite, this shows that π is finite at (p, f, C, X). This suffices to show condition 3.

□

The rest is just working out the numbers. We know the result for $d \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$, so it remains to consider $d \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$. If $n \leq 5$ then $d \leq n-2$ means $d \leq 3 = \frac{n+1}{2}$, so without loss of generality, we may assume $n \geq 6$.

Corollary 6.2. *If $d \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$ and $e \leq \frac{n+1}{n-d+1}$ then*

$$\operatorname{codim} S_e \geq \binom{n}{2} + d - 2en + \frac{e(e+1)}{2}(n-d+1) - e + 1.$$

Proof. First, we show that $n(d-e-1) - e + 4 \geq \binom{n}{2} + d - 2en + \frac{e(e+1)}{2}(n-d+1)$. We will first show that the inequality is strict for $e \leq \frac{n}{n-d+1}$. For $e = 1$ we have

$$n(d-2) + 3 > \binom{n}{2} - n + 1$$

is equivalent to

$$(d-2) > \frac{1}{n}\binom{n}{2} - 1 - \frac{2}{n}$$

which is equivalent to

$$d > \frac{1}{n}\binom{n}{2} + 1 - \frac{2}{n} = \frac{n-1}{2} + 1 - \frac{2}{n} = \frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{2}{n}.$$

For $e \leq \frac{n}{n-d+1}$, note that each time we replace $e-1$ with e, the left-hand side decreases by $n+1$, while the right hand side decreases by $2n - e(n-d+1) + 1$. We see that $2n - e(n-d+1) + 1 \geq n+1$, which together with the base case $e = 1$ shows that $n(d-e-1) - e + 4 > \binom{n}{2} + d - 2en + \frac{e(e+1)}{2}(n-d+1)$ for this range of e. For $e = \frac{n+1}{n-d+1}$, we see that replacing $e-1$ with e decreases the right-hand side by at least n. Together with the fact that the inequality was strict for $e-1$, this proves $n(d-e-1) - e + 4 \geq \binom{n}{2} + d - 2en + \frac{e(e+1)}{2}(n-d+1)$ for $e \leq \frac{n+1}{n-d+1}$.

Now we prove the main result by induction. For the base case $e = 1$, we need to show $\operatorname{codim} S_e \geq \binom{n}{2} + d - 2n + (n-d+1) = \binom{n}{2} - n + 1$, which follows from Proposition 5.10 and the above discussion.

Finally, we proceed with the induction step. Suppose $\operatorname{codim} S_{e-1} \geq \binom{n}{2} + d - 2(e-1)n + \frac{e(e-1)}{2}(n-d+1) - e + 2$. By Theorem 6.1, we see that $\operatorname{codim} S_e \geq \min\{\operatorname{codim} S_{e-1}, \operatorname{codim} S_{e-1} - 2n + e(n-d+1) - 1, n(d-e-1) - e + 4\}$. Using the induction hypothesis and the above discussion, we see that

$$\operatorname{codim} S_e \geq \binom{n}{2} + d - 2en + \frac{e(e+1)}{2}(n-d+1) - e + 1.$$

□

Corollary 6.3. *If X is a general hypersurface of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^n$ and $n \geq d+2$, then the space of rational, degree e curves through an arbitrary point $p \in X$ has the expected dimension for all e.*

Proof. By Corollary 5.8 the threshold degree is $\lfloor \frac{n+1}{n-d+1} \rfloor$, so by Corollary 6.2, it remains to show that $\binom{n}{2} + d - 2en + \frac{e(e+1)}{2}(n-d+1) - e + 1$ is positive for $1 \leq e \leq \frac{n+1}{n-d+1}$. Multiplying by two, it suffices to show

$$n(n-1) - 4en + e(e+1)(n-d+1) + 2d - 2e + 2 > 0.$$

The expression on the left is decreasing in e for $e \leq \frac{n+1}{n-d+1}$, so it suffices to prove the result for $e = \frac{n+1}{n-d+1}$. Dividing by e gives

$$\frac{n(n-1)}{n+1}(n-d+1) - 4n + (e+1)(n-d+1) + \frac{2d}{e} - 2 + \frac{2}{e} > 0$$

which can be rearranged to obtain

$$\frac{n^2+1}{n+1}(n-d+1) - 4n + n + 1 + \frac{2d}{e} - 2 + \frac{2}{e} > 0$$

or

$$\frac{n^2+1}{n+1}(n-d+1) + \frac{2d}{e} + \frac{2}{e} > 3n + 1.$$

Multiplying both sides by $n+1$, we get

$$(n^2+1)(n-d+1) + 2d(n-d+1) + 2(n-d+1) > 3n^2 + 4n + 1,$$

or

$$(n^2 + 2d + 3)(n-d+1) > 3n^2 + 4n + 1.$$

The left-hand side is quadratic in d with negative coefficient of d^2, so we need only check the endpoints to minimize it. If $d = n - 2$, the left-hand side becomes

$$3(n^2 + 2n - 1) = 3n^2 + 6n - 3.$$

This will be greater than $3n^2 + 4n + 1$ precisely when $2n > 4$, or $n > 2$.

If $d = \frac{n+1}{2}$, the left-hand side is

$$(n^2 + n + 4)\frac{n+1}{2}.$$

For $n \geq 5$, we have

$$(n^2 + n + 4)\frac{n+1}{2} = (n^2 + n)\frac{n+1}{2} + 2(n+1) \geq 3n^2 + 3n + 2n + 2 > 3n^2 + 4n + 1.$$

This concludes the proof. □

7 Conclusion

This paper covers Conjecture 1.1 for much of the Fano range. However, as we point out in the introduction, there remains many ranges of n, d, and e for which we do not know whether Conjecture 1.1 is true. This includes a few more cases in the Fano range ($n = d + 1$ and $n = d$), a large swath of cases in the general type range (to our knowledge, the conjecture is open for $d < 2n - 2$), and the Calabi-Yau range, including Clemens' Conjecture. We hope that more progress will be made in the future.

References

[1] Roya Behesti and N. Mohan Kumar. Spaces of rational curves in complete intersections. *Compositio Mathematica*, 149(06):1041–1060, 2013.

[2] F. Campana. Connexité rationnelle des variétés de fano. *Ann. Sci. E.N.S.*, 25(01):539–545, 1992.

[3] Herbert Clemens. Curves on generic hypersurfaces. 19(4):629–636, 1986.

[4] Izzet Coskun and Jason Starr. Rational curves on smooth cubic hypersurfaces. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2009(24):4626–4641, 2009.

[5] Ethan Cotterill. Rational curves of degree 10 on a general quintic threefold, 2004.

[6] Ethan Cotterill. Rational curves of degree 11 on a general quintic threefold, 2007.

[7] Lawrence Ein. Subvarieties of generic complete intersections. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 94(1):163–169, 1988.

[8] Lawrence Ein. Subvarieties of generic complete intersections. ii. *Mathematische Annalen*, 289(1):465–471, 1991.

[9] William Fulton and Rahul Pandharipande. Notes on stable maps and quantum cohomology. *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Algebraic Geometry, Santa Cruz*, 62(Part 2):45–96, 1995.

[10] L. Gruson, R. Lazarsfeld, and C. Peskine. On a theorem of castelnuovo, and the equations defining space curves. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 72(3):491–506, 1983.

[11] Joe Harris, Barry Mazur, and Rahul Pandharipande. Hypersurfaces of low degree. *Duke Math. J.*, 95(1):125–160, 1998.

[12] Joe Harris, Mike Roth, and Jason Starr. Rational curves on hypersurfaces of low degree. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 571:73–106, 2004.

[13] Joe Harris, Mike Roth, and Jason Starr. Curves of small degree on cubic threefolds. *Rocky Mountain J. Math*, 35(3):761–817, 2005.

[14] T. Johnsen and S. L. Kleiman. Rational curves of degree at most 9 on a general quintic threefold. In *eprint arXiv:alg-geom/9510015*, page 10015, October 1995.

[15] Kleiman, Steven Johnsen, Trygve. Toward clemens' conjecture in degrees between 10 and 24. *Serdica Mathematical Journal*, 23(2):131–142, 1997.

[16] Sheldon Katz. On the finiteness of rational curves on quintic threefolds. *Compositio Mathematica*, 60(2):151–162, 1986.

[17] János Kollár, Yoichi Miyaoka, and Shigefumi Mori. Rational connectedness and boundedness of fano manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 36(3):765–779, 1992.

[18] Jason Starr. Fano varieties and linear sections of hypersurfaces.

[19] Angelo Vistoli. Intersection theory on algebraic stacks and on their moduli spaces. *Invent. Math.*, 97(3):613–670, 1989.

[20] Claire Voisin. On a conjecture of clemens on rational curves on hypersurfaces. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 44(1):200–213, 1996.

[21] Claire Voisin. A correction: "on a conjecture of clemens on rational curves on hypersurfaces". *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 49(3):601–611, 1998.